

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/027,420	SCHWARTZ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Amee A. Shah	3625	

All Participants:

(1) Amee A. Shah.

(2) Walter Nielsen.

Status of Application: active

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 8 May 2007

Time: 2:30 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 3, 4, 8, 9, 25

Prior art documents discussed:

Oh


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Nielsen agreed to the Examiner's amendments of canceling claims 1, 2, 5, 10 and 11 and amending claims 3, 4, 8 and 25 to place the claims in condition for allowance

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 YOGESH C. GARG
 PRIMARY EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)